

a margin of zero. Accordingly, Commerce recalculated BTIC's weighted-average dumping margin to be zero, and having found BTIC's margin to be *de minimis*, indicated its intention to exclude BTIC from the antidumping duty order. *See* Third Remand Results at 7-8 (citing *High Pressure Steel Cylinders From the People's Republic of China*, 77 Fed. Reg. 37,377 (Dep't Commerce June 21, 2012) (order)).

No party disputes the Third Remand Results. In its Judgment Request, BTIC asks the court to sustain the Third Remand Results, noting that "Defendant and Defendant-Intervenor do not object to this request." Judgment Request at 1-2 ("All parties agree that the third remand redetermination complies with the court's remand instructions issued on July 5, 2017 (ECF No. 126). Accordingly, all parties propose to dispense with further briefing . . .").

In accordance with the forgoing, and upon consideration of the papers and proceedings had herein, it is hereby

ORDERED that Commerce's final determination of sales at less than fair value, published as *High Pressure Steel Cylinders From the People's Republic of China*, 77 Fed. Reg. 26,739 (May 7, 2012), as supplemented and modified on remand, is sustained; and it is further

ORDERED that the subject entries whose liquidation was enjoined in this action, *see* ECF No. 120 (order granting consent motion to amend the preliminary injunction), shall be liquidated in accordance with the court's final decision, as provided for in 19 U.S.C. § 1516a(e) (2012).

/s/ Richard K. Eaton
Richard K. Eaton, Judge

Dated: August 17, 2017
New York, New York