## Slip Op. 13-92

### UNITED STATES COURT OF INTERNATIONAL TRADE

## Before: Nicholas Tsoucalas, Senior Judge

MID CONTINENT NAIL CORPORATION,

Plaintiff,

v.

UNITED STATES, : Court No.: 10-00247

and :

TARGET CORPORATION,

Defendant,

Defendant-Intervenor. :

#### ORDER

TSOUCALAS, Senior Judge: On July 18, 2013, the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit ("CAFC") vacated and remanded this court's judgment in <a href="Mid Continent Nail Corp.v.">Mid Corp. v.</a>
<a href="United States">United States</a>, 36 CIT \_\_\_, Slip Op. 12-97 (2012) ("Mid Continent II") (not reported in the Federal Supplement), with instructions to remand the matter back to the United States Department of Commerce ("Commerce") for further proceedings. <a href="Mid Continent Nail Corp.v.">Mid Continent Nail Corp. v.</a>
<a href="United States">United States</a>, Nos. 2012-1682, 2012-1683, 2013 WL 3746081, at \*1 (Fed. Cir. July 18, 2013) ("Mid Continent III").

Mid Continent II upheld Commerce's redetermination issued pursuant to the court's earlier decision in Mid Continent Nail Corp. v. United States, 36 CIT \_\_, 825 F. Supp. 2d 1290 (2012) ("Mid Continent I"). In Mid Continent I, the court held that

Court No. 10-00247 Page 2

Commerce impermissibly limited the scope of an antidumping duty

order by excluding in-scope steel nails packaged and imported as a

component of certain household tool kits because the scope lacked

clear language regarding mixed media applications. Id. at 1292-96.

The CAFC "disagree[d] . . . that Commerce is foreclosed by the

broad language of the antidumping order from interpreting the order

to exclude nails included within mixed media tool kits," but

agreed "that Commerce has not yet reasonably interpreted the order

in this case so as to justify such an exclusion." Mid Continent

III, 2013 WL 3746081, at \*5. The CAFC also provided an extensive

roadmap for Commerce to follow in analyzing this and future mixed

media cases. Id.

Accordingly, it is hereby

**ORDERED** that this case is remanded to Commerce

redetermination in accordance with the CAFC's opinion in Mid

Continent III; and it is further

**ORDERED** that the remand results are due within ninety (90)

days of the date this opinion is entered. Any responses or

comments are due within thirty (30) days thereafter. Any rebuttal

comments are due within fifteen (15) days after the date responses

or comments are due.

/s/NICHOLAS TSOUCALAS

Nicholas Tsoucalas

Senior Judge

Dated: July 23, 2012

New York, New York

# ERRATA

Please make the following changes to  $\underline{\text{Mid Continent Mail Corp. v.}}$   $\underline{\text{United States}}$ , No. 10-00247, Slip Op. 13-92:

- page 2, date line: change "July 23, 2012" to "July 23, 2013"
July 23, 2013.

## ERRATA

Please make the following changes to the Errata to <a href="Mid Continent Nail Corp. v. United States">Mid Continent</a> No. 10-00247, Slip Op. 13-92:

- first line: change "Mid Continent Mail Corp." to "Mid Continent Nail Corp."

July 24, 2013.